

Chronic Corruption Part II: How to Exploit a Crisis

By Ty & Charlene Bollinger
July 17, 2020



Power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Great men are almost always bad men, even when they exercise influence and not authority.”

– Sir John Dalberg-Acton

In [Part I](#) of this series, we looked at the absolute incompetence that has defined the CDC since its inception. Perhaps worse is the pervasive corruption that exists within the agency. Government officials, pharmaceutical companies, and even the media have worked together to maximize their profits and power at the expense of public health.

This has resulted in a world in which we can no longer trust government leaders, CDC directors, or even major news outlets to give us the truth. And when brave patriots have come forward to fight this corruption and injustice, they have been slandered, sued, and silenced. In Part II of our series, let’s take a look at the impact of this corruption.

The Vaccine Agenda and Bill Gates

The CDC, government officials, the pharmaceutical industry, and the mainstream media are capitalizing on the coronavirus pandemic to further their ongoing agenda and eliminate any remaining opposition that may be in a position to fight back. Unfortunately, the organizations that are supposed to protect us have been used as pawns in the war on freedom by the elite.

You see, there has been an agenda for years now to force vaccines, chemotherapy, and other pharmaceutical interventions on all citizens. After all, these drugs generate [obscene amounts of profit](#). Mandating them would create a guaranteed customer base of hundred of millions of Americans (and billions across the globe).

This “pandemic” has already generated substantial new wealth for those who are already rich in a way that most of us can’t even comprehend. As over 40 million people file for unemployment due to jobs stripped away by fascist political mandates, **the world’s billionaires are thriving**. In fact, U.S. billionaires have increased their wealth by nearly 10% – to the tune of over \$400 billion.

Amazon’s Jeff Bezos – already the richest man in the world – increased his wealth by more than \$25 billion since the outbreak of COVID-19. Eric Yuan (CEO of Zoom), Steve Ballmer (Microsoft), and Elon Musk (Tesla) have all seen their fortunes grow by over \$1 billion. Members of congress are being **investigated for insider trading**, accused of selling and buying stocks based on the coming economic collapse while assuring the American people that everything would be fine.

Bill Gates (the second-richest man in the world, behind Bezos) has been working on a different kind of investment: the WHO. Gates has been considered by many to be a champion in the medical field during this pandemic... despite the fact that he has absolutely zero medical training.

After building the Microsoft empire, the U.S. government convicted him of breaking antitrust laws in 1999. A year later, he founded the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, which essentially runs the WHO. (After the U.S. suspended funding to the WHO, Gates became the WHO's single largest donor.) **The WHO has been a leader in spreading fear and misinformation** and has adamantly pushed to fast-track a vaccine...even suggesting that we forgo the already inadequate safety testing normally used for vaccine development.

How did Gates transform from a power-hungry overlord who was sued by the government for breaking the law to a renowned philanthropist and beacon of altruism, intent on ridding the world of disease? He didn't. When Gates could no longer expand his empire, wealth, and power through the tech industry, he immediately set his sights on a bigger prize: control of international health policy.

The plan is actually brilliant. To the untrained eye, it would appear that Gates is on a mission to help the world. However, through the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, he has transformed the WHO into the vehicle through which he can obtain even more power and control.

POTUS vs WHO

One of the few outsiders in the political arena is President Donald Trump, who has been battling the WHO for some time now. On April 7th, President Trump announced that he will suspend funding for the WHO pending an investigation into the UN-connected organization.

"The WHO...they called it wrong," said President Trump in his April 7th Coronavirus briefing regarding the WHO's inactions in the early months of COVID-19. "They missed the call. They should have called it months earlier. They would have known. They should have known. And they probably did know. So we will be looking into that very carefully, and we are going to put a hold on money spent to the WHO."

The following week, Trump explained more.

America and the world have chosen to rely on the WHO for accurate, timely, and independent information to make important public health recommendations and decisions," Trump said at an April 14, 2020 press conference. "If we cannot trust that this is what we will receive from the WHO, our country will be forced to find other ways to work with other nations to achieve public health goals."

At a May 1, 2020 briefing, Press Secretary Kayleigh McEnany clarified the administration's stance towards both the WHO and China.

It is no secret that China mishandled the situation," said McEnany. "Just a few examples for you: they did not share the genetic sequence until a professor in Shanghai did so on his own the very next day. China shut down this lab for 'rectification.' They slow-walked information on human-to-human transmission alongside the World Health Organization and did not let U.S. investigators in at a very important time."

Even media sources who are normally critical of Trump admit that the WHO's stance in line with China is investigation-worthy.

Institutions of international governance, like institutions of national governance, are prone to a particular form of corruption: they're inclined to serve powerful interests at the expense of their mission," wrote journalist Robert Wright in an April 10 article for Wired magazine.

You can read more about the WHO corruption [here](#).

The CDC: A History of Corruption

But this corruption is thriving within U.S. borders as well. For too long, the CDC has had a cozy relationship with corporate special interests. The result? Bad policy and misinformation designed to exploit the American people rather than protect them.

Back in 2016, a group calling themselves the CDC Scientists Preserving Integrity, Diligence and Ethics in Research, or (CDC SPIDER), put a list of complaints in writing into a letter to CDC Chief of Staff and provided a copy of this letter to the public watchdog organization U.S. Right to Know (USRTK). The members of the group elected to file the complaint anonymously for fear of retribution.

It appears that our mission is being influenced and shaped by outside parties and rogue interests... and Congressional intent for our agency is being circumvented by some of our leaders. What concerns us most, is that it is becoming the norm and not the rare exception," the letter states. "These questionable and unethical practices threaten to undermine our credibility and reputation as a trusted leader in public health."

According to an article in The Hill, the complaint cites (among other things) a "cover up" of the poor performance of a women's health program called the Well-Integrated Screening and Evaluation for Woman Across the Nation, or WISEWOMAN. The program provides standard preventive services to help 40-to 64-year-old women reduce their risks for heart disease and promote healthy lifestyles. CDC currently funds 21 WISEWOMAN programs through states and tribal organizations. The complaint says there was a **coordinated effort within the CDC to misrepresent data given to Congress** so that it appeared that this program was involving more women than it actually was.

Definitions were changed and data 'cooked' to make the results look better than they were," the complaint states. "An 'internal review' that involved staff across CDC occurred and its findings were essentially suppressed so the media and/or Congressional staff would not become aware of the problems."

The letter mentions that Congresswoman Rosa DeLauro, a Democrat from Connecticut who has been a proponent of the program, has made inquiries to CDC regarding the data. A spokesman for her office confirmed as much.

The complaint also alleges that staff resources that are supposed to be dedicated to domestic programs for Americans are instead being directed to work on global health and research issues.

And the complaint cites as "troubling" the ties between soft drink giant Coca-Cola Co., an advocacy group backed by Coca-Cola, and two high-ranking CDC officials – Dr. Barbara Bowman, who directed the CDC's Division for Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention until retiring in June, and Dr. Michael Pratt, senior Advisor for Global Health in the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP) at the CDC.

Bowman retired after revelations of what the complaint called an "irregular" relationship with Coca-Cola and a nonprofit corporate interest group set up by Coca-Cola called the International Life Sciences Institute (ILSI).

Email communications obtained through the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests by USRTK revealed that in her CDC role, Bowman had been communicating regularly with – and offering guidance to – a leading Coca-Cola advocate seeking to influence world health authorities on sugar and beverage policy matters.

Emails also suggested that Pratt has a history of promoting and helping lead research funded by Coca-Cola while being employed by the CDC. Emails obtained by FOIA showed that Pratt has also been working closely with ILSI, which advocates for the agenda of beverage and food industries. Several research papers co-written by Pratt were at least partly funded by Coca-Cola, and **Pratt has received industry funding to attend industry-sponsored events and conferences**. Last month, Pratt took a position as Director of the University of California San Diego Institute for Public Health.

Coca-Cola has been pushing an agenda to remove sugary beverages like Coke from the obesity discussion. Their theory goes that consumption of sugar-laden foods and beverages is not to blame for obesity or other health problems, and a lack of exercise is the primary culprit.

Experts in the nutrition arena have said that these relationships are troubling because the mission of the CDC is to protect public health, and yet certain CDC officials appear to be close with an industry that, studies say, is linked to about 180,000 deaths per year worldwide, including 25,000 in the United States. The CDC is supposed to be addressing rising obesity rates among children, not advancing beverage industry interests.

Bad Science

Now, the CDC is attacking the President and using fear of the coronavirus to bend the American people into submission. Tensions have grown since the President essentially sideline the CDC after the agency's utter failure to lead the response to this new virus.

The *New York Times* obtained a copy of the CDC's reopening guideline recommendations and described the President's decision to reject it. The recommendations include using disposable dishes and utensils at restaurants, closing every other row of seats in buses and subways while restricting transit routes among areas experiencing different levels of coronavirus infection, and separating children at school and camps into groups that should not mix throughout the day.

But the White House and other administration officials rejected these recommendations over concerns that they were overly prescriptive, infringed on religious rights and risked further damaging an economy that has already been severely crippled. One senior official at the Department of Health and Human Services with deep ties to religious conservatives objected to any controls on church services.

“Governments have a duty to instruct the public on how to stay safe during this crisis and can absolutely do so without dictating to people how they should worship God,” said Roger Severino, the director of the Department of Health and Human Services' Office for Civil Rights, who once oversaw the DeVos Center for Religion and Civil Society at the Heritage Foundation.

And that disagreement is now out in the open. As the White House continues to dismiss CDC guidelines for reopening the nation, accusations have been made that the President doesn't care about public health. But that couldn't be further from the truth. First, many of the recommendations made and implemented have absolutely no scientific basis.

We're being forced to stay indoors, even though **appropriate vitamin D levels are crucial for good health**. Parks and beaches are inexplicably shut down, even as the research shows that this is making things worse. A new publication from Dr. Eamon Laird and Professor Rose Anne Kenny, School of Medicine, and the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA), in collaboration with Professor Jon Rhodes at University of Liverpool, highlights the association between vitamin D levels and mortality from COVID-19.

The authors of the article, just published in the Irish Medical Journal, analyzed all European adult population studies, completed since 1999, which measured vitamin D and compared vitamin D and death rates from COVID-19.

This study shows that, counter intuitively, countries at lower latitudes and typically sunny countries, such as Spain and Northern Italy, had low concentrations of vitamin D and high rates of vitamin D deficiency among the population. These countries also experienced the highest infection and death rates in Europe.

The northern latitude countries of Norway, Finland, and Sweden, have higher vitamin D levels despite less UVB sunlight exposure, because supplementation and fortification of foods is more common. These Nordic countries have lower COVID-19 infection and death rates. The correlation between low vitamin D levels and death from COVID-19 is statistically significant.

The authors propose that, whereas optimizing vitamin D levels will certainly benefit bone and muscle health, the data suggests that it is also likely to reduce serious COVID-19 complications. This may be because vitamin D is important in regulation and suppression of the inflammatory cytokine response, which causes the severe consequences of COVID-19 and the 'acute respiratory distress syndrome' which is associated with ventilation and death.

Professor Rose Anne Kenny said: "In England, Scotland and Wales, public health bodies have revised recommendations since the COVID-19 outbreak. Recommendations now state that all adults should take at least 400 IU vitamin D daily. Whereas there are currently no results from randomized controlled trials to conclusively prove that vitamin D beneficially affects COVID-19 outcomes, there is strong circumstantial evidence of associations between vitamin D and the severity of COVID-19 responses, including death."

This study further confirms this association. We call on the Irish government to update guidelines as a matter of urgency and encourage all adults to take supplements during the COVID-19 crisis. Deficiency is frequent in Ireland. Deficiency is most prevalent with age, obesity, in men, in ethnic minorities, in people with diabetes, hypertension and in nursing homes."

Dr. Eamon Laird added: "Here we see observational evidence of a link of vitamin D with mortality. Optimizing [vitamin D](#) intake to public health guidelines will certainly have benefits for overall health and [will] support immune function. Research like this is still exploratory and we need further trials to have concrete evidence on the level of vitamin D that is needed for optimal immune function. However, studies like this also remind us how low our vitamin D status is in the population (even in sunny countries) and adds further weight to some sort of mandatory vitamin D fortification policy. If the Nordic countries are allowed to do this, there is no reason Ireland, the UK or rest of Europe can't either."

We know that most cases of COVID-19 transmission happen in confined spaces for extended periods of time; such as entire families isolated in their homes for months without end. We also know that mask mandates make the problem worse, not better.

Furthermore, the models used to impose these restrictions have been proven to be utterly wrong. According to The Daily Wire, The Imperial College London model from March showed that as many as 2.2% of Americans could die from COVID-19.

But the model was off – way off. And now experts say it was “**totally unreliable.**”

One computer data modeling expert said the Imperial model coding, done by professor Neil Ferguson, is a “buggy mess that looks more like a bowl of angel hair pasta than a finely tuned piece of programming,” the Daily Telegraph reported.

“In our commercial reality, we would fire anyone for developing code like this and any business that relied on it to produce software for sale would likely go bust,” David Richards, co-founder of British data technology company WANdisco, told the Telegraph.

And that’s not the only issue. The economy and public health are invariably intertwined. Here are some of the realities arising from the shutdown and quarantine:

- In some parts of the country, **domestic violence is up 25%**. This disproportionately affects low-income Americans.
- Hundreds of thousands of children may die this year as the economic impact of our response has deprived them of basic medicine, food, and clean water. Tens of millions will likely fall into extreme poverty. This disproportionately impacts children from low-income families.
- Current unemployment trends are likely to result in tens of thousands of additional suicides by the end of the year. These will disproportionately affect low-income families and those already struggling with mental illness.
- Economic recession and reduced tax revenue always result in cuts to health services and hospital staffing. Those living in rural, low-income communities and third-world nations will be most impacted.
- Time away from school increases dropout rate, which lowers income potential and decreases life expectancy. Especially for children from low-income families.
- Special needs students are especially likely to suffer from long-term school closures, both from abuse and severe setbacks in their ability to assimilate and function.
- Rises in unemployment during large recessions lead to reduced income, additional stress and unhealthy lifestyles – all of which lead to shorter lifespans. A 20% unemployment rate could result in **tens of millions of years of lost life.**
- Young people entering the job market during an economic recession live shorter, healthier lives.

The truth is that children and the poor will be most severely impacted by our response to this virus. Many more of them will die and suffer from the fallout than from the virus itself. It’s easy to accept the extreme restrictions that our governments have levied and scoff at those who dissent when you live a life of privilege, but the reality is that we are killing more people with our response than the virus ever could. More lives will be lost. The quality of lives will be diminished. Dreams and lifelong investments will be destroyed.

Stay tuned for Part III of this series, where we’ll take a hard look at the leaders who are on the front lines in the battle for freedom.